

Journal of Hazardous Materials B136 (2006) 324-329

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Journal of Hazardous Materials

Evaluation of the interaction mechanisms between red muds and heavy metals

Laura Santona, Paola Castaldi, Pietro Melis*

Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali Agrarie e Biotecnologie Agro Alimentari, Sez., Chimica Agraria ed Ambientale, Università di Sassari, Viale Italia 39, 07100 Sassari, Italy

Received 26 October 2005; received in revised form 7 December 2005; accepted 12 December 2005 Available online 19 January 2006

Abstract

This paper investigated the heavy metal adsorption of non-treated (RM_{nt}) and acid-treated red muds (RM_a), bauxite ore processing waste, in order to evaluate how efficient they are in reducing metal solubility and bioavaliability in polluted soils. Red mud samples were artificially polluted with solutions containing increasing concentrations of Pb, Cd and Zn. Cancrinite and hematite were the main phases of the red muds, and were also the components which adsorbed most heavy metals. The results showed that the RM_{nt} adsorption capacity for the three heavy metals was $Zn \ge Pb > Cd$. Acid treatment with HCl decreased the red mud's capacity to adsorb the heavy metals by 30%.

In order to study the different heavy metal–RM interaction mechanisms, all samples after artificial contamination were treated with solutions with gradually increasing extraction capacity. H_2O and $Ca(NO_3)_2$ treatments only extracted very low concentrations of Pb, Cd and Zn, while EDTA treatment extracted the most adsorbed heavy metals from the sorbent particles. In particular the water-soluble and exchangeable metal fractions were higher in the RM_a than they were in the RM_{nt}, while the concentrations of Pb, Cd and Zn extracted with EDTA were lower.

The results showed that red muds can be used successfully to reduce the solubility and bioavailability of heavy metals in polluted soils. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Red muds; Heavy metals; Adsorption capacity; Remediation technologies

1. Introduction

Pollution of soils by heavy metals is a worldwide environmental problem. The replacement of traditional industrial activities by more innovative and economic ones has in many cases resulted in previous centers of production being transformed into areas of widespread pollution [1]. In addition heavy metals are generally persistent in nature, unlike organic contaminants such as pesticides, or petroleum by-products. They can, however, become mobile in soils so that a fraction of their total mass can leach to groundwater or can become bioavailable to plants, animals and humans [2].

The current technologies used to remediate polluted heavy metal soils mainly involve removing and replacing the soil, and are generally very expensive. This means that innovative in situ low-input and cheap technologies are urgently required

0304-3894/\$ - see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.12.022 [3]. Thus it is necessary to develop low cost remediation methods which do not alter the physical and chemical properties of soils. Research has shown that adding soil amendments in situ favours chemical immobilization and reduces the mobility and bioavailability of metals through adsorption or precipitation [1,4]. Many different approaches have been proposed for the chemical immobilization of heavy metals in degraded soils some of which regarding the application of lime or of inorganic and organic amendments [1,5–7]. Among these, using various industrial residues as amendments to remedy contaminated soils seems to be promising. This would also reduce waste disposal and giving new value to industrial wastes through converting them into industrial by-products.

Particularly the red muds, a fine-textured residues which derive from the digestion of bauxite during the Bayer process, could be cost-effective composite sorbents capable of treating a variety of contaminants [8]. Significant amounts are produced as a by-product of refining (for 1 tonne of alumina produced, between 1 and 2 tonnes of red mud residues are produced), although the exact composition and quantity depends on the

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 079229214; fax: +39 079229276. *E-mail address:* pmelis@uniss.it (P. Melis).

particular chemical and mineralogical composition of the bauxite [9]. Disposal of this residue contributes significantly to the overall production cost of alumina [10]. It is also an important environmental problem, because the waste dumps occupy large areas and the red mud itself is strongly alkaline (pH 10–12.5) [11].

Red mud is principally composed of fine particles of silica, aluminum, iron, calcium and titanium oxides and hydroxides, which are responsible for its high surface reactivity [12]. Because of these characteristics red muds have been the subject of many investigations [13,14], including some on the removal of toxic heavy metals from wastewater [8,15–17] and acid mine drainage [9], or on reducing the leaching of soil nutrients [18,19].

In this work we show the results on the adsorption behaviour of three heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Zn) in non-treated and acidtreated red muds. This was done to evaluate the principal Me–sorbents interaction mechanisms and to establish whether red muds can be used as amendments in the remediation of heavy metal polluted soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample description

Red muds were obtained from the Eurallumina plant (Portovesme, Sardinia, Italy). The red muds were dried overnight at 105 °C, finely ground and sieved to <0.02 mm (RM_{nt}). The red muds were also acid-treated (RM_a) by twice washing with HCl 0.05N for 2 h at the ratio 1:25 (wt/wt) of red mud/HCl solution. After treatment the RM_a was washed with distilled water and dried overnight at 105 °C. The acid treatment technique is a proven way of synthesizing a better adsorbent from red muds, capable of removing inorganic phosphorus and heavy metals [8]. The pH and electric conductivity (EC) values were determined in 1:25 ratio of red mud/distilled water (Table 1) [20]. The cation exchange capacity (CEC), determined with BaCl₂-triethanolamine following the usual international methods, was 106.5 and 98.2 mmol₍₊₎ kg⁻¹ for RM_{nt} and RM_a, respectively (Table 1) [20].

Table 1		
Properties	of red	muds

Chemical parameters	RM _{nt}	RMa
pH	11.5	7.0
$EC (mS cm^{-1})$	2.1	0.3
$S_{\text{BET}}(m^2 g^{-1})$	18.87	25.16
$CEC (mmol_{(+)} kg^{-1})$	106.5	98.2
Chemical phases (wt.%)		
Cancrinite [Na ₆ Ca _{1.5} Al ₆ Si ₆ O ₂₄ (CO ₃) _{1.6}]	51.0	42.0
Hematite [Fe ₂ O ₃]	27.0	31.0
Gibbsite [Al(OH) ₃]	3.0	3.0
Boehmite [AlO(OH)]	9.0	9.6
Anatase [TiO ₂]	3.0	3.0
Quartz [SiO ₂]	2.0	3.0
Ca–Fe–V-oxide	3.5	4.0
MgO	1.5	3.0

The specific surface areas of the RM_{nt} and RM_a were measured by the BET/N₂-adsorption method (Sorptomatic Carlo Erba). Wheeler's methodology was used to evaluate the distribution and the volume of the pores and also to elaborate the results of adsorption and desorption [21].

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out with a Rigaku D/MAX diffractometer (Cu K α) equipped with a graphite monochromator in the diffracted beam. The pattern was collected in the 2θ range from 10° to 70°. Crystalline phases were identified using the database of the International Centre for Diffraction Data for Inorganic Substances (Table 1) [22]. Aside from crystalline phases, about the 20% of the RM was made of amorphous oxides.

2.2. Adsorption and desorption of heavy metals

 RM_{nt} and RM_a were artificially polluted with solutions containing increasing concentrations (from 0.6 to 3.0 mmol 25 mL^{-1}) of Pb, Cd and Zn, derived from their nitrate salts, and shaken for 24 h (1:25 ratio of red mud/metal solution). The adsorption tests took place at controlled temperature and pH. A buffer solution of acetic acid–sodium acetate was used to maintain a constant pH (5.5–5.9 range) for the Pb, Cd and Zn-RM samples at each point of the isotherms (10 points on the whole were obtained).

The solid and liquid phases were separated by centrifuging and filtering.

The chemical forms of the heavy metals bound to the red muds were determined by a sequential extraction procedure, in order to study the contribution of the different interaction mechanisms. To extract the soluble phase, each sample polluted with heavy metals from the adsorption test was treated with 25 mL of distilled water (pH 6.5) and shaken for 2 h at room temperature. It was then treated with 25 mL of Ca(NO₃)₂ 0.1N to extract the exchangeable phase, and with 25 mL of EDTA 0.02 M to extract the complexed phase [23]. After each step of the extraction process the samples were centrifuged and filtered to separate the liquid and solid phases. After the third washing, the residual fraction of metals was determined by drying the solid phase overnight at 105 °C and digesting it with HNO₃ and HCl (ratio 1:3) in a Microwave Milestone MLS 1200. The heavy metal concentrations were analysed using a Beckam D.C. plasma atomic emission spectrometer.

The data shown are the mean of three replicates.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characteristics of the adsorbents

Table 1 shows the semi-quantitative mineral composition of RM_{nt} and RM_a . Cancrinite, a sodium calcium aluminum silicate carbonate, was the main phase of RM_{nt} (51 wt.%) and RM_a (42 wt.%). This tectosilicate has an open porous structure and can be considered as a material with zeolitic-like properties [24]. The partial dissolution of cancrinite in the RM_a after the acid treatment caused a relative increase in other phases, particularly of hematite (Table 1).

Table 2 The Langmuir parameters b and K, relating to the capacity and intensity of adsorption

	$b \pmod{\mathrm{g}^{-1}}$	K (L mmol ⁻¹)	R^2
Pb-RM _{nt}	1.88	0.75	0.94
Pb-RM _a	0.77	1.42	0.87
Cd-RM _{nt}	1.35	0.79	0.96
Cd-RM _a	0.91	2.07	0.77
Zn-RM _{nt}	2.47	1.53	0.96
Zn-RM _a	1.59	2.10	0.97

The N₂-adsorption isotherms of RM_{nt} and RM_a were type III curves (figures not shown). These curves are typical of heterogeneous sorbents containing hydrous oxides, silicates and sulphates [25,26]. The specific areas of RM_{nt} and RM_a were 18.9 and 25.2 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The higher specific surface area of the RM_a was due to the "cleaning effect" of HCl treatment, which dissolved 9% of the cancrinite. A partial reorganization of the RM_a particles, which caused an increase in the number of pores with lower radii (30–50 Å) and a simultaneous decrease in the number of those ranging from 60 to 90 Å, was also observed (figures not shown).

3.2. RM_{nt} adsorption isotherms

The total quantity of heavy metals in the polluting solutions $(3.0 \text{ mmol } 25 \text{ mL}^{-1})$ was high enough to satisfy the CEC of the RM_{nt} (106.5 $\text{mmol}_{(+)} \text{ kg}^{-1}$). For all the metals the adsorption in the RM_{nt} was higher with respect to CEC.

Analysis of the adsorption isotherms showed that the heavy metals reacted differently to the adsorbing material. Metal adsorption in RM_{nt} increased in the following order: $Zn \ge Pb > Cd \pmod{g^{-1}}$ (Fig. 1). Pb adsorption was higher when the concentration of the metal in the contact solution was lower (the Me_{ads}/Me_{added} ratio was higher than 0.85 at the first four points of the isotherm). The adsorption then decreased as the concentration of Pb in the solution increased and the gradient of the curves became less steep, until a plateau was reached $(1.85 \text{ mmol g}^{-1})$. The Cd-RM_{nt} isotherm was similar to that of Pb, but the fraction of Cd adsorbed was lower (Fig. 1). The Zn adsorption efficiency were higher than those of Pb and Cd. Its isotherm shape was typical for adsorbent surfaces where adsorption is directly proportional to the concentration of the metal in solution and it did not reach a defined plateau (Meads/Meadded ratio increasing) (Fig. 1) [27]. This led us to conclude that RM_{nt} could sorpt more Zn.

Experimental data of heavy metals adsorption were fitted into the Langmuir equation:

$$x/m = KbC/(1 + KC),$$

where the Langmuir parameter b refers to maximum adsorption capacity and K is a proportional constant of the adsorption energy [28] (Table 2). The application of the Langmuir model to complex and heterogeneous surfaces such as the red muds is not completely satisfactory, but it did allow us to compare the different metal-adsorption capabilities of these sorbents.



Fig. 1. Pb, Cd and Zn adsorption isotherms on red muds.

The *K* values of Zn and Cd were higher than the Pb value (+2.04 and +1.05, respectively). The maximum Zn adsorption (*b*) in the RM_{nt} was higher than Pb and Cd (+1.32 and +1.83, respectively) (Table 2).

All samples, after artificial contamination, were treated with solutions of gradually increasing sorption capacity, in order to study the different heavy metal–RM interaction mechanisms (Figs. 2 and 3). Different quantities of metal were extracted by RM_{nt}, depending on the metal and on the extracting agent used. The fractions extracted with H₂O are readily leachable and bioavailable in the environment, and these were always less than 0.01% of Pb and Zn adsorbed, and less than 5% of the Cd adsorbed. The fractions of Cd and Zn extracted with Ca(NO₃)₂, which quantify the relatively labile and potentially bio-available exchangeable fractions, were always lower than 1.5% of metals adsorbed, while no fraction of Pb was extracted with Ca(NO₃)₂.

The heavy metal concentrations extracted with EDTA, which quantify the relatively immobile and not readily bio-available or leachable metal [29], increased as the quantity of metal adsorbed



Fig. 2. Pb, Cd and Zn fractions extracted with H_2O and $Ca(NO_3)_2$ from RM_{nt} and RM_a^* . *The numbers in the X-axis correspond to the isotherm points.

increased, and at the last point of the isotherm 80% of Pb, 75% of Cd and 57% of Zn adsorbed was extracted (Fig. 3).

The fraction of non-extracted heavy metals would not be expected to be readily released under natural conditions. The residual concentrations of Pb and Cd were always lower than 20% of the adsorbed heavy metals. The non-extracted fraction of Zn increased as the adsorbed metal concentration increased until the value of 0.79 mmol g^{-1} was reached (42% of Zn adsorbed) (Fig. 3).

3.3. RM_a adsorption isotherm

The quantities of heavy metals adsorbed on RM_a were higher than the CEC, and followed the order: $Zn > Cd \ge Pb$. However the adsorption was always lower (<30%) than that of RM_{nt}, which agrees with the results of Apak et al. [8]. RM_a adsorbed more Pb (Me_{ads}/Me_{added} ratio = 0.87) than Cd (Me_{ads}/Me_{added} ratio = 0.43) and Zn (Me_{ads}/Me_{added} ratio = 0.34), particularly



Fig. 3. Pb, Cd and Zn fractions extracted with EDTA and not extracted from RM_{nt} and RM_{a}^{*} . *The numbers in the *X*-axis correspond to the isotherm points.

when the concentrations of metal solutions were low (0.6 mmol 25 mL^{-1}) (Fig. 1).

The Langmuir model showed that the maximum RM_a capacity to adsorb Zn was 2.05 higher than its capacity to adsorb Pb and 1.75 higher than its capacity to adsorb Cd. The *K* values increased in the following order: $Zn > Cd \ge Pb$, and they were higher than the *K* values obtained for RM_{nt} (Table 2).

More heavy metals were extracted by H_2O and $Ca(NO_3)_2$ than by RM_{nt} (Fig. 2). The fractions of soluble and exchangeable Pb and Zn were less than 15% of the metals adsorbed. The concentration of Cd extracted increased as the quantity of metal adsorbed increased, until a value of 0.09 mmol g⁻¹ was reached (10% of Cd adsorbed, Fig. 2).

The metal fractions extracted with EDTA at the last point of the isotherms were, respectively, 65, 62 and 28% of Pb, Cd, and Zn adsorbed (Fig. 3).

The non-extracted fractions of Pb (0.17 mmol g^{-1}), Cd (0.24 mmol g^{-1}) and Zn (0.60 mmol g^{-1}) were, respectively, 20, 29 and 60% of the metals adsorbed (Fig. 3).

3.4. General discussion

Cancrinite and hematite were the principal phases which gave adsorbing capacity to the red muds. Cancrinite, at the pH of equilibrium in the adsorption experiments, had a negative charge density in its lattice [30]. This should be neutralized by metal adsorbed with outer-sphere bonds on the external surfaces and by the incorporation of metals in the cages and channels of cancrinite framework, if the hydrated ionic radius of the metals is compatible with the sizes of cancrinite cavities [31]. Thus the RM_{nt}, which contains higher quantities of this tectosilicate, adsorbed more cations.

The acid treatments dissolved a portion of the cancrinite framework and also varied the structure of the red muds, causing an increase in the number of pores with lower radii (<50 Å), and a consequent increase in the specific surface area.

Since the pH of solutions at equilibrium in the adsorption experiments was in the 5.5–5.9 range, hydroxide precipitation of Cd and Zn can be excluded, while it is possible that a low precipitation of Pb-hydroxide occurred [32].

The Al, Fe oxides and hydroxides of the red muds, principally the hematite, were the other active components in heavy metal adsorption. Since the pH of solutions at equilibrium in the experiments was lower of the point of zero charge of the red muds, as reported by several authors (pzc = 8-8.5) [15,16,33]; the adsorption of heavy metals on Al, Fe oxides and hydroxides occurred on positively charged surfaces through the formation of specific inner-sphere bonds.

The maximum adsorption capacity values of the three metals, obtained from the Langmuir's equation, agreed with the experimental results. The Zn had the highest values in both sorbents.

The quantities of metal extracted with H_2O and $Ca(NO_3)_2$ in the RM_a were similar to the values of CEC, while in the RM_{nt} these fractions were lower (<50% of CEC value). Probably in the RM_{nt} the higher fraction of cancrinite favoured the incorporation of Pb, Cd and Zn in the cages and channels of its lattice, and the metal once present within the structure remained trapped and was not water-soluble or exchangeable.

A gradual decrease of the Me_{ads}/Me_{added} ratio in both the sorbents was noted for the Pb and Cd. This was due to the increased occupation of active surface sites of the sorbents when the concentrations of metals increased in the aqueous solutions [34]. By contrast the Zn-isotherms did not reach a defined plateau, which shows that the red muds could adsorb more Zn. This particular behaviour may be because Zn has a smaller ionic radius and higher charge density than Pb or Cd, which means that its energy and sphere of hydration is greater [7]. When the Zn concentration in the solutions was low, its adsorption decreased because of its large hydrated ionic radius, but when the Zn concentrations increased a partial loss of hydration molecules may occur, so favouring Zn adsorption.

No difference was observed in the percentages of residual Pb in the two sorbents. By contrast the percentages of non-extracted Cd and Zn after sequential extraction in RM_a were higher than in RM_{nt} . These results were confirmed by Langmuir's parameter K, which showed higher adsorption intensity values for all three metals in the RM_a . This was probably due to the relative increase of fractions such as hematite in the RM_a , which complexed the metals with strong bonds.

4. Conclusions

The sequential extraction procedure showed that only low concentrations of the Pb, Cd and Zn adsorbed by the red muds were in the form of water-soluble and exchangeable fractions, while the greatest concentrations of the metals adsorbed were tightly bound and would not be expected to be released readily under natural conditions.

Red muds may be considered as effective sorbents capable of removing the heavy metals examined here from solution. Thus they could favour chemical immobilization and reduce the solubility and bioavailability of metals in polluted soils.

References

- P. Castaldi, L. Santona, P. Melis, Heavy metals immobilization by chemical amendments in a polluted soil and influence on white lupin growth, Chemosphere 60 (2005) 365–371.
- [2] B.J. Alloway, Soil processes and the behaviour of metals, in: B.J. Alloway (Ed.), Heavy Metals in Soils, Son Inc., New York, 1990, pp. 7–28.
- [3] E. Lombi, F.J. Zhao, G. Zhang, B. Sun, W. Fitz, H. Zhang, S.P. McGrath, In situ fixation of metals in soils using bauxite residue: chemical assessment, Environ. Pollut. 118 (2002) 435–443.
- [4] N.T. Basta, S.L. McGowen, Evaluation of chemical immobilization treatments for reducing heavy metal transport in a smelter-contaminated soil, Environ. Pollut. 127 (2004) 73–82.
- [5] P. Mulè, P. Melis, Methods for remediation of metal-contaminated soils: preliminary results, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 31 (19/20) (2000) 3193–3204.
- [6] R.S. Bowman, Application of surfactant-modified zeolites to environmental remediation, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 61 (2003) 43–56.
- [7] P. Castaldi, L. Santona, C. Cozza, V. Giuliano, C. Abbruzzese, V. Nastro, P. Melis, Thermal and spectroscopic studies of zeolites exachanged with metal cations, J. Mol. Struct. 734 (2005) 99–105.
- [8] R. Apak, E. Tutem, M. Hugul, J. Hizal, Heavy metal cation retention by unconventional sorbents (red mud and fly ashes), Water Res. 32 (2) (1998) 430–440.
- [9] K. Komnitsas, G. Bartzas, I. Paspaliaris, Efficiency of limestone and red mud barriers: laboratory column studies, Miner. Eng. 17 (2004) 183–194.
- [10] A.R. Hind, S.K. Bhargava, S.C. Grocott, The surface chemistry of Bayer process solids: a review, Colloids Surf., A 146 (1999) 359–374.
- [11] C. Brunori, C. Cremisini, P. Massanisso, V. Pinto, L. Torricelli, Reuse of treated red mud bauxite waste: studies on environmental compatibility, J. Hazard. Mater. B117 (2005) 55–63.
- [12] D. Chvedov, S. Ostap, T. Le, Surface properties of red mud particles from potentiometric titration, Colloids Surf., A 182 (2001) 131–141.
- [13] V.K. Gupta, I. Ali, V.K. Saini, Removal of chlorophenols from wastewater using red mud: an aluminum industry waste, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (2004) 4012–4018.
- [14] V.K. Gupta, I. Ali, V.K. Saini, A. Suhas, Removal of rhodamine B, fast green and methylene blue from wastewater using red mud — an aluminum industry waste, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43 (2004) 1740–1747.

- [15] E. Lopez, B. Soto, M. Arias, A. Nunez, D. Rubinos, M.T. Barral, Adsorbent properties of red mud and its use for wastewater treatment, Water Res. 32 (4) (1998) 1314–1322.
- [16] J. Pradhan, S.N. Das, R.S. Thakur, Adsorption of exavalent chromium from aqueous solution by using activated red mud, J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 217 (1999) 137–141.
- [17] V.K. Gupta, S. Sharma, Removal of cadmium and zinc from aqueous solutions using red mud, Environ. Sci. Technol. 36 (2002) 3612–3617.
- [18] R.N. Summer, D.D. Smirk, D. Karafilis, Phosphorus retention and leachates from sandy soil amended with bauxite residue (red mud), Aust. J. Soil Res. 34 (1996) 555–567.
- [19] I.R. Phillips, Use of soil amendments to reduce nitrogen, phosphorus and heavy metal availability, J. Soil Contam. 7 (1998) 191– 212.
- [20] Official Methods, No. III. 1 Ordinary Suppl., Italian G.U. No. 248 of 21/10/1999.
- [21] A. Wheeler, Catalysis, vol. II, Reinhold Press, 1955, p. 118.
- [22] G. Budroni, G. Cocco, J.Z. Jiang, G. Carturan, S. Enzo, X-ray powder diffraction and Mossbauer study of red mud residue from alumina production, J. Metast. Nanocryst. Mater. 8 (2000) 695–700.
- [23] N.T. Basta, R. Gradwohl, Estimation of Cd, Pb, and Zn bioavailability in smelter-contaminated soils by a sequential extraction procedure, J. Soil Contam. 9 (2000) 149–164.
- [24] K. Hackbarth, T.M. Gesing, M. Fechtelkord, F. Stief, J.-Ch. Buhl, Synthesis and crystal structure of carbonate cancrinite Na₈[AISiO₄]₆CO₃(H₂O)_{3.4}, grown under low-temperature hydrothermal conditions, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 30 (1999) 347–358.
- [25] S. Brunauer, P.H. Emmett, E. Teller, Adsorption of gases in multimolecular layer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 60 (1938) 309–319.

- [26] W.J. Weber, K.D. Pennel, T.J. Dekker, L.M. Abriola, Sorption and retardation of organic contaminants in subsurface systems: effect on transport and fate, in: M.M. Aral (Ed.), Advances in Groundwater Pollution Control and Remediation, Kluwer Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1996, pp. 1–31.
- [27] P. Castaldi, L. Santona, C. Cozza, V. Giuliano, C. Abbruzzese, P. Melis, Recovery of soils contaminated with heavy metals: preliminary results, Fresenius Environ. Bull. 13 (11b) (2004) 1232–1236.
- [28] K. Periasamy, C. Namasivayam, Process development for removal and recovery of cadmium from wastewater by a low cost adsorbent: adsorption rates and equilibrium studies, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 33 (1994) 317–320.
- [29] X.T. He, T.J. Logan, S.J. Traina, Physical and chemical characteristics of selected U.S. municipal solid waste composts, J. Environ. Qual. 24 (1995) 543–552.
- [30] B.I. Whittington, B.L. Fletcher, C. Talbot, The effect of reaction conditions on the composition of desilication product (DSP) formed under simulated Bayer conditions, Hydrometallurgy 49 (1998) 1–22.
- [31] J. Mon, Y. Deng, M. Flury, J.B. Harsh, Cesium incorporation and diffusion in cancrinite, sodalite, zeolite and allophane, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 86 (2005) 277–286.
- [32] A. Araneo, Il pH di precipitazione degli idrossidi, in: M. Piccin (Ed.), Chimica Analitica Quantitativa, 1986, pp. 62–69.
- [33] R. Apak, K. Guclu, M.H. Turgut, Modelling of copper (II), cadmium (II), and lead (II) adsorption on red mud, J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 203 (1998) 122–130.
- [34] R. Apak, G. Atun, K. Guclu, E. Tutem, G. Keskin, Sorptive removal of cesium-137 and strontium-90 from water by unconventional sorbents. I. Usage of bauxite wastes (red muds), J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 33 (1995) 396–402.